	Case 2:08-cv-01032-NVW Document	14 Filed 05/15/09 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	William H. Taylor,	) No. CIV 08-1032-PHX-NVW (DKD)
10	Petitioner,	) )
11	VS.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
12	Ricardo E. Chavez,* Warden,	) )
13	Respondent.	) )
14		
15	TO THE HONORABLE NEIL V. WAKE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:	
16	William Taylor filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241,	
17	challenging the conclusion by the Bureau of Prisons that he is ineligible to receive early	
18	release upon completion of the Residential Drug Abuse Program because of his previous	
19	robbery conviction. A search of the Bureau of Prisons Inmate Locator indicates that Taylor	
20	was released from custody on April 21, 2009.  IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that William Taylor's petition for writ of	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	habeas corpus be <b>dismissed as moot</b> (Doc. #1).	
23	This recommendation is not an order that is immediately appealable to the Ninth	
24	Circuit Court of Appeals. Any notice of appeal pursuant to Rule 4(a)(1), Federal Rules of	
25	Appellate Procedure, should not be filed until entry of the district court's judgment. The	
26	Appenate i roccdure, should not be med	until entry of the district court's judgment. The
27		
28	*Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Ricardo E. Chavez, the Warden at FCI Phoenix effective June 16, 2008, replaces Dora Craig Apker.	

## Case 2:08-cv-01032-NVW Document 14 Filed 05/15/09 Page 2 of 2

parties shall have ten days from the date of service of a copy of this recommendation within which to file specific written objections with the Court. *See*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Rules 72, 6(a), 6(e), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Thereafter, the parties have ten days within which to file a response to the objections. Failure timely to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation may result in the acceptance of the Report and Recommendation by the district court without further review. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003). Failure timely to file objections to any factual determinations of the Magistrate Judge will be considered a waiver of a party's right to appellate review of the findings of fact in an order or judgment entered pursuant to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. *See* Rule 72, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge